

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1456 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/827,741	-	04/06/2001	Vivek Amir Jairazbhoy	10541/277	6704
29074	7590	10/01/2003		EXAMINER	
BRINKS P.O. BOX		ILSON & LIONE	DUONG, THO V		
	GO, IL 60611			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3743	
				DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003	
					17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ΛK	
	Application No.	1	Applicant(s)	, (*	
	09/827,741	J	JAIRAZBHOY ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	1	Art Unit		
·	Tho v Duong		3743		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover	sheet with the cor	respondence ad	ldress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, howev within the statutory mining will apply and will expire S cause the application to	rer, may a reply be timely num of thirty (30) days w IX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	y filed fill be considered timel mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 J	luly 2003 .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-fin	al.			
 Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under I Disposition of Claims 				e merits is	
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,10,11 and 16-20</u> is/are pending	in the application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from considera	tion.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,10,11 and 16-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requiren	nent.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	` '		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			ed by the Examin	er.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•	on.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	ammer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-((d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		Stage	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional	application).	
a) The translation of the foreign language pro-	• •				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (F Notice of Informal Pat Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4,6,10-11 and 16-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. As regarding to the proposed drawings, the proposed drawing labeled "Version 1" is accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 6,10,11,16,17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Russell (US 4,320,246). Russell discloses (figures 1,2 and 7) a container (1) having a receptacle (14) for receiving an electronic device (11); the container having an outer wall (1) and an inner wall (6) defining an annular chamber (3) there between that is partially filled with a liquid coolant (2); the receptacle (14) is disposed between the electronic device and the chamber; the inner surface of the inner wall (6) is for receiving a cooling conduit (channel of coolant) wherein the inner surface connected with the container through the inner wall (6) since the inner wall (6) is considered to be part of the container, a wick structure (5) positioned within the container; the liquid (2) does not contact both the inner wall and the outer wall simultaneously; heat is generating by the electronic device (11) and transferring the heat to a fluid flowing through the conduits (6). Russell further discloses (column 4, lines 25-29) that the fluid can be

Application/Control Number: 09/827,741

Art Unit: 3743

either gas or liquid and can be pumped into the conduits (6). Since the inner wall (6) is contained with the container, it is considered to read on that the container having the inner wall (6). According to Merriam Collegiate Dictionary 10th edition, "Conduit" is defined as a natural or artificial channel through which something (as a fluid) is conveyed. Since the inner wall (tube 6) forms a channel within the tube for the liquid coolant flowing through, it is considered to read that the inner surface of the inner wall (6) is for receiving a cooling conduit. As regarding claims 10 and 11, since the cooling device of Russell is the same with the claimed cooling device, it is believed that the method of cooling the electronics using Russell's device is similar to the claimed method of using the claimed cooling device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4,6,10-11 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paterson (US 5,529,115) in view of Davidson et al. (US 5,216,580) and Thomas O. Paine (US 3,603,382). Paterson discloses (figure 1 and 4) a heat pipe (10) comprising a container having a receptacle (13) for receiving an electronic device (12); the container having an inner wall (24) and an outer wall (the circular section of wall14) defining a chamber there between that is partially filled with a liquid coolant (20) and the liquid coolant (20) does not contact both the inner wall and the outer wall simultaneously; the inner surface of the inner wall (24) is for receiving a cooling conduit (channel of coolant) wherein the inner surface of the inner wall

Application/Control Number: 09/827,741

Art Unit: 3743

connected with the container through the inner wall (24) since the inner wall (24) is considered to be part of the container; and a condenser plate (48) positioned within the container. Since the inner wall (24) is contained with the container, it is considered to read on that the container having the inner wall (24). According to Merriam Collegiate Dictionary 10th edition, "Conduit" is defined as a natural or artificial channel through which something (as a fluid) is conveyed. Since the inner wall (24) forms a channel within the tube for the liquid coolant flowing through, it is considered to read that the inner surface of the inner wall (24) is for receiving a cooling Paterson does not disclose that wick structures lining inside the container and the conduit. receptacle is disposed between the electronic device and the chamber. Davidson discloses (figures 1A) that it is well known in the art that a receptacle (3) is used to dispose between an electronic device (1) and the heat pipe (5) to transfer heat from the electronic device to the heat pipe. Davidson also further discloses(figures 3A and 3B) that a receptacle (22), which is a part of the heat pipe, is disposed between the electronic device (23) and the chamber (35) of the heat pipe to effectively transfer heat from the electronic device to the heat pipe. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Davidson in Paterson's heat pipe to effectively transfer heat from the electronic device to the heat pipe. Thomas discloses (figures 1-3 and column 4, lines 16-28) a heat pipe comprising a container having an outer wall (12) and an inner wall (10); a wick structure (14) including a first wick structure (24) lining the inside of the outer wall (12); a second wick structure (20) lining the inside of the inner wall (10); a communication wick structure (22) periodically connected the first and the second wick structure so that heat can be effectively transferred from the outer wall (12) to the inner wall (10) by evaporation at the outer wall and condensation at the inner wall. It

Art Unit: 3743

would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Thomas's teaching in the combination device of Paterson and Davidson to effectively transfer heat from the outer wall to the inner wall by evaporation at the outer wall and condensation at the inner wall. As regard claims 4 and 20, the communication wicks (24) are symmetrical. Therefore, if the heat applied to the outer wall right bellow to one of the communicating wicks, the opposite communication wick is considered to be readable on the claimed limitation of flow divider. As regarding claims 10 and 11, since the combination device for cooling electronics of Paterson, Davidson and Thomas is the same with the claimed cooling device, it is believed that the method of cooling the electronics using the combination device is similar to the claimed method of using the claimed device for cooling electronics.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3743

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Tho Duong whose telephone number is (703) 305-0768. The examiner can normally be reached on from 9:30-6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennet, can be reached on (703) 308-0101. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)308-7764.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0861.

Tho Duong

September 27, 2003

He Bennett

Supervisory Patent Examiner